Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,037	NETER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tim Heitbrink	1722	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Tim Heitbrink</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Bauer</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 May 2005</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1,15,18,21			
Prior art documents discussed: None		·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Mr. Bauer agreed to the changed to the claims as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made in order to put the claims in better form with respect to 35 USC 112.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
	``		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	